UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ABILITY INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No.: 1:20-cv-03851 (GBD)

ST PAPER, LLC

Defendant.

DECLARATION OF ANGELO A. STIO, III, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S REPLY BRIEF ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

ANGELO A. STIO, III hereby declares, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 as follows:

- 1. I am a partner in the law firm Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders, LLP, attorneys for Plaintiff Ability Insurance Company ("Plaintiff") in the above captioned matter. I am fully familiar with the facts and circumstances discussed below.
- 2. I submit this Declaration in support of Plaintiff's Reply Brief in Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment.
- 3. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u> is a true and accurate copy of excerpts from the transcript of the deposition of David Charsky taken on July 27, 2021 ("Charsky Tr.").

DATED: New York, NY

Executed: September 24, 2021

<u>/s/ Angelo A. Stio, III</u> ANGELO A. STIO, III

Ex. A

| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | | | |
| 3 4 | ABILITY INSURANCE COMPANY, | | | |
| 5 | Plaintiff, | | | |
| 6 | -vs- Case No.: 1:20-cv-03851-GBD | | | |
| 7 | ST PAPER, LLC, Defendant. | | | |
| 8 | berendant. | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF: DAVID G. CHARSKY | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | DATE: July 27, 2021 | | | |
| 13 14 | TIME: 1:38 p.m 2:51 p.m. (EST) | | | |
| 15 | DEPONENT | | | |
| 16 | LOCATION: TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP | | | |
| 17 | 3000 Two Logan Square Eighteenth and Arch Streets | | | |
| 18 | Philadelphia, Pennsylvania | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | REPORTED BY: CARRIE S. BOHRER, RPR, RMR, CRR | | | |
| 21 | BAY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. www.bayreportingservice.com | | | |
| 22 | 920-432-5662 | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |

| 1 | APPEARANCES | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP, by FRANK H. GRIFFIN IV, Attorney at Law 3000 Two Logan Square | |
| 4 | Eighteenth and Arch Streets Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 | |
| 5 | 215-981-4247 frank.griffin@troutman.com | |
| 6 | appeared via videoconference on behalf of the Plaintiff | |
| 7 | On Denair Of the Plaintiff | |
| 8 | TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP, by ANGELO A. STIO III, Attorney at Law | |
| 9 | 301 Carnegie Center, Suite 400 Princeton, New Jersey 08540 | |
| 10 | 609-951-4125 angelo.stio@troutman.com | |
| 11 | appeared via videoconference on behalf of the Plaintiff | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | JILL GETTMAN, Attorney at Law 10250 Regency Circle, Suite 105 | |
| 14 | Omaha, Nebraska 68114 402-871-8000 | |
| 15 | jgettman@gettmanmills.com appeared via videoconference | |
| 16 | on behalf of the Plaintiff | |
| 17 | GODFREY & KAHN, S.C., by | |
| 18 | JONATHAN T. SMIES, Attorney at Law Riverwalk Plaza | |
| 19 | 200 South Washington Street, Suite 100 Green Bay, Wisconsin 54301 | |
| 20 | 920-432-9300 jsmies@gklaw.com | |
| 21 | appeared via videoconference on behalf of the Defendant | |
| 22 | on benair of the betendant | |
| 23 | * * * * | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | 2 |

| _ | | | |
|--------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 1 | | I N D E X | |
| 2 | EXAMIN | NATION BY: PAGE | |
| 3 | Mr. S | Smies 4 | |
| 4 | EXHTBT | TTS MARKED: PAGE ID | י ח |
| 5 | NONE | | |
| 6 | IVOIVE | | |
| 7 | REQUES | STED INFORMATION: PAGE | |
| 8 9 | 1) | Investment management agreement between Monroe Capital and Ability Insurance Company | |
| 10 | 2) | Any documents that reflect Ability's | |
| 11 | 2, | recovery or proceeds from the sale, net of any expenses, for taking it | |
| 12 | | through American Boulevard property through foreclosure | |
| 13 | 3) | Any emails that were overlooked that relate to any matters with respect to | |
| 14 | | Note Number 1 20 | |
| 15 | 4) | Total of payments made on the Green Box debt 22 | |
| 16 | 5) | Document that reflects the reduction | |
| 17 | | of the amount due under the mortgage after the sale of the mortgage itself 37 | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| | | | 3 |

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT REPORTER: This deposition of David G. Charsky is being conducted remotely. I have verified the witness's identity by viewing his photo ID.

Mr. Charsky, would you please raise your right hand and I will swear you in.

DAVID G. CHARSKY, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. SMIES:

2.4

Good afternoon, Mr. Charsky. My name is

Jon Smies, and I'm an attorney with Godfrey &

Kahn in our Green Bay, Wisconsin office. I

represent ST Paper, LLC, the defendant in a

lawsuit filed by Ability Insurance in the

Southern District Court, and I am taking

testimony today pursuant to a notice of

deposition directed to a representative or

representatives of Ability Insurance Company

under Rule 30(b)(6) of the Rules of Civil

Procedure. And that is -- I understand that you

are being produced by the plaintiff as the

representative for these matters of testimony.

| 1 | | Is that your understanding today? |
|----|---|---------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | A | Yes, that's my understanding. |
| 3 | Q | Great. Why don't you tell me first of all |
| 4 | | what's your position with Ability? |
| 5 | A | Currently I am the vice president and treasurer |
| 6 | | of Ability Insurance Company. |
| 7 | | MR. STIO: Hey, Jonathan, can we just |
| 8 | | put the stipulation on the record that objections |
| 9 | | to form are going to preserve the objection so |
| 10 | | that this goes smoother? |
| 11 | | MR. SMIES: Yeah, that is fine, if |
| 12 | | there's an objection as to form. |
| 13 | | MR. STIO: Okay. Thank you. |
| 14 | Q | So, Mr. Charsky, how long have you been with |
| 15 | | Ability? |
| 16 | A | In this current role, seven years. |
| 17 | Q | What about any prior roles? |
| 18 | A | Yeah, previous to that I was the third-party |
| 19 | | administrator in the accounting area for this |
| 20 | | company. But I was not a named officer of the |
| 21 | | company at that time. |
| 22 | Q | How long did you serve in that capacity? |
| 23 | A | Five years. So altogether around twelve |
| 24 | | twelve to thirteen years I've been working on |
| 25 | | the Ability account. |
| | 1 | 5 |

| 1 | | Insurance Company. |
|----|---|--------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | Q | Okay. Is he also an employee of ACAP? |
| 3 | A | Yes, he is. |
| 4 | Q | Anybody else? |
| 5 | A | No. |
| 6 | Q | Are you aware of Ability Insurance Company's |
| 7 | | ownership? |
| 8 | A | Yes. |
| 9 | Q | And what is the ownership? Is it a privately |
| 10 | | held corporation? |
| 11 | A | Yes, it's privately held. |
| 12 | Q | And are you an investor in Ability Insurance? |
| 13 | A | No, I'm not. |
| 14 | Q | Okay. So, Mr. Charsky, you've been with Ability |
| 15 | | in one capacity or another now for some time. |
| 16 | | Are you were you at all involved in any of |
| 17 | | the events giving rise to the claims at issue |
| 18 | | here in this case involving, for example, the |
| 19 | | transfer of Note 1 from Maple Bridge Funding, |
| 20 | | LLC to Ability? |
| 21 | A | I was involved in the funding of the original |
| 22 | | promissory note, the 7.15 million. |
| 23 | Q | All right. Let's step back I guess for a |
| 24 | | minute. You understand that there's an entity |
| 25 | | called Maple Bridge Funding, LLC that's had some |

| 1 | | involvement in this series of transactions; is |
|----|---|---------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | | that fair? |
| 3 | A | Yes. |
| 4 | Q | And are you aware of any relationship between |
| 5 | | Ability and Maple Bridge Funding? |
| 6 | A | Yeah, so Maple Bridge Funding is an LLC set up |
| 7 | | to fund mortgage investments by one of our |
| 8 | | investment managers called Monroe Capital. |
| 9 | Q | And how do you know that about Maple Bridge |
| 10 | | Funding, LLC? Is that a related entity to |
| 11 | | Ability in some respect? |
| 12 | A | No, there's no relation at all. It's it's an |
| 13 | | LLC of Monroe Capital. |
| 14 | Q | Okay. So Monroe Capital, is there any relation |
| 15 | | between Monroe Capital, that entity, and Ability? |
| 16 | A | Yes. There was at the time an administrative |
| 17 | | or I'm sorry an investment management |
| 18 | | agreement between Monroe Capital and Ability |
| 19 | | Insurance Company. |
| 20 | Q | Okay. And that was under that agreement, if |
| 21 | | you know, was Monroe Capital then acting as the |
| 22 | | investment manager for Ability? |
| 23 | A | Yes. |
| 24 | | MR. SMIES: Okay. Counsel, I don't |
| 25 | | believe I've seen that document, and maybe it's |

| 1 | | not in the scope of any prior request, but I |
|----|---|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | | would just make a request now on the record for |
| 3 | | that investment management agreement. |
| 4 | | MR. GRIFFIN: I'll take your request |
| 5 | | under advisement. I don't believe it was |
| 6 | | responsive to the requests, but we'll take the |
| 7 | | request under advisement. |
| 8 | | MR. SMIES: Thank you. |
| 9 | Q | So you mentioned, Mr. Charsky, that there was |
| 10 | | some funding of a promissory note originally. |
| 11 | | Do you have a sense of how Ability participated |
| 12 | | in that funding? |
| 13 | A | Yes. |
| 14 | Q | All right. Can you explain to me that |
| 15 | | transaction? |
| 16 | A | So |
| 17 | | MR. GRIFFIN: Objection to the form |
| 18 | | of the question. Go ahead, Dave. |
| 19 | A | Okay. So Ability Insurance Company provided the |
| 20 | | funding for that promissory note, the 7.15 |
| 21 | | million. But it was underwritten and sourced by |
| 22 | | our investment manager Monroe Capital. |
| 23 | Q | Okay. So the note the original note you're |
| 24 | | referencing was between it was given by I |
| 25 | | guess it was made by Green Box NA Green Bay, LLC 12 |

| 1 | | in favor of Maple Bridge Funding, LLC; is that |
|----|---|---------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | | your understanding? |
| 3 | A | Yes. |
| 4 | Q | Okay. But the actual funding of the monies paid |
| 5 | | to Green Box NA Green Bay, LLC was, you're |
| 6 | | saying, provided by Ability in the first |
| 7 | | instance; is that fair? |
| 8 | A | Yes, and then was assigned the note and all |
| 9 | | collateral associated with the note was assigned |
| 10 | | to Ability Insurance Company. |
| 11 | Q | Right. I think the two occurred fairly close in |
| 12 | | time, if I recall. It could be that on |
| 13 | | December 10th, 2013 Green Box made the note to |
| 14 | | Maple Bridge Funding and then on December 11th of |
| 15 | | 2013 it was an allonge executed for the payment |
| 16 | | to be ordered to Ability Insurance Company by |
| 17 | | Maple Bridge Funding, LLC by Tim O'Shea. Does |
| 18 | | that comport with your recollection of the |
| 19 | | facts? |
| 20 | | MR. GRIFFIN: Objection to the form |
| 21 | | of the question. |
| 22 | A | I can't confirm the exact dates. But yes, that |
| 23 | | time frame sounds about when the initial funding |
| 24 | | was sent to Green Box. |
| 25 | Q | Okay. And the sequence is correct, that is, |
| | | ۱٦ |

| 1 | | loan to Green Box. And then after that what did |
|----|---|--------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | | Ability what steps did Ability take to |
| 3 | | collect, if any, after funding the loan? |
| 4 | | Because that was back in 2013. Do you know what |
| 5 | | the immediate what the terms of that note |
| 6 | | were as far as payment to Ability? |
| 7 | | MR. GRIFFIN: Objection to form. |
| 8 | A | So no communication with Maple Bridge. We |
| 9 | | communicate with Monroe Capital. |
| 10 | Q | Okay. |
| 11 | A | Who's, again, the asset manager on the account |
| 12 | | and the loan. So yes, we'd communicate interest |
| 13 | | payments on that loan |
| 14 | Q | Were there |
| 15 | A | to make sure they were coming in. |
| 16 | Q | I'm sorry to interrupt. Were there payments |
| 17 | | made on the Green Box loan? |
| 18 | A | Yes. Initially, yes, there were a few payments |
| 19 | | made. Interest was paid on the loan. |
| 20 | Q | So it was sort of an interest-only situation for |
| 21 | | a period of time? |
| 22 | A | Yes. |
| 23 | Q | Do you know how long payments were made or how |
| 24 | | much? |
| 25 | A | I'd have to check the records. But a few months' |
| | | 21 |

| 1 | | worth of payments. |
|----|---|-------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | | MR. SMIES: That's information I would |
| 3 | | be interested in seeing, Counsel, and if it's |
| 4 | | already produced forgive me, but to the extent |
| 5 | | you can find the total of payments made on the |
| 6 | | Green Box debt, I would like that produced. |
| 7 | | MR. GRIFFIN: Understood. |
| 8 | Q | So at some point it became evident, I take it, |
| 9 | | that Green Box wasn't going to pay all that was |
| 10 | | due under the Green Box note? Is that a fair |
| 11 | | summary of the history with Ability? |
| 12 | A | Correct. And interest stopped being paid on the |
| 13 | | account. |
| 14 | Q | Do you know if that was before or after there |
| 15 | | was a declaration of bankruptcy? |
| 16 | A | I'm not sure. |
| 17 | Q | Did you take any steps to attempt to collect on |
| 18 | | that debt before initiating foreclosure, any |
| 19 | | correspondence with Green Box? |
| 20 | A | No correspondence with Green Box. It would all |
| 21 | | go through the investment manager, Monroe |
| 22 | | Capital, who has the relationship with you |
| 23 | | know, with Green Box. |
| 24 | Q | That's Tim O'Shea? |
| 25 | A | Yes. He's one of the principals. |

| 1 | Q | And who are some other principals at Monroe |
|----|---|----------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | | Capital? |
| 3 | A | There's just Tim O'Shea and Jason Harkavy are |
| 4 | | the two individuals I would have dealt with at |
| 5 | | Monroe. |
| 6 | Q | So you have them to thank for introducing you to |
| 7 | | Mr. Van Den Heuvel, I guess? |
| 8 | A | Never met him. |
| 9 | Q | Okay. Getting you involved in this whole thing |
| 10 | | I guess is a better way of putting it. |
| 11 | | So you today in this litigation are seeking |
| 12 | | to enforce what's been described as Note Number 1. |
| 13 | | Do you understand that to be the case? |
| 14 | A | Yes. |
| 15 | Q | And that was a note executed by ST Paper made to |
| 16 | | Oconto Falls Tissue, Inc. on April 16th, 2007? |
| 17 | | Is that your understanding? |
| 18 | A | I'm not sure of the exact date, but yes. |
| 19 | Q | What so in seeking to enforce and to collect |
| 20 | | on Note Number 1, is there any agreement in place |
| 21 | | with any third party regarding the proceeds of |
| 22 | | any effort to collect on Note Number 1? |
| 23 | A | No. |
| 24 | Q | So from Ability's point of view, it is the only |
| 25 | | party that's entitled to any proceeds from |
| | | 23 |

| 1 | | collection on Note Number 1; is that fair? |
|----|---|--------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | A | That's correct. |
| 3 | Q | And does Ability possess the original of Note |
| 4 | | Number 1? |
| 5 | A | Yes. I've been told we have the original in a |
| 6 | | safe deposit box. |
| 7 | | MR. SMIES: All right. That's |
| 8 | | something I have asked to inspect and I haven't |
| 9 | | flown out there to take a look at it, and I |
| 10 | | don't know where "out there" is, frankly, but, |
| 11 | | Counsel, I guess if we need to we can coordinate |
| 12 | | on that if I'm heading out east or |
| 13 | | MR. GRIFFIN: If you want to take a |
| 14 | | flight out here to take a look at it we're happy |
| 15 | | to arrange it. |
| 16 | | MR. SMIES: Okay. So it's there in |
| 17 | | your neck of the woods, TJ, in Philadelphia or |
| 18 | | New York? |
| 19 | | MR. GRIFFIN: I believe so. Dave, do |
| 20 | | you want to tell him exactly where you believe |
| 21 | | it is, in Connecticut or |
| 22 | | THE WITNESS: I think it's in |
| 23 | | Pleasantville, New York. |
| 24 | | MR. SMIES: Okay. |
| 25 | | THE WITNESS: Just north of New York |

| 1 | | City. |
|----|---|-------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | Q | All right. |
| 3 | | MR. STIO: We'll get you the address. |
| 4 | | Just shoot us a note, and we'll get you the |
| 5 | | address so you can make whatever arrangements |
| 6 | | you need. |
| 7 | | MR. SMIES: Thank you, Angelo. I |
| 8 | | appreciate that. |
| 9 | | MS. GETTMAN: This is Jill Gettman. |
| 10 | | I'm counsel for Ability. We also have office |
| 11 | | policies we'll have to coordinate because we |
| 12 | | have and we also have office policies that |
| 13 | | you have to be vaccinated to be in that |
| 14 | | location (inaudible) |
| 15 | | THE COURT REPORTER: You're cutting |
| 16 | | out, Jill. I can't understand what you're |
| 17 | | saying. |
| 18 | | MR. SMIES: Yeah, we'll figure it out. |
| 19 | | I didn't hear it all about policies in New York |
| 20 | | State and everything but |
| 21 | | MS. GETTMAN: Dave and I were trying |
| 22 | | to coordinate sorry. (Inaudible.) |
| 23 | | MR. GRIFFIN: I believe Ms. Gettman |
| 24 | | was just trying to represent that there are |
| 25 | | policies that would have to be followed for |